Dase 220-01-01213 KJM Document 8 Prijed 02 015216 Page 1 of 40 ur FEB 0 1 2021 Eastern District of California CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEPUTY CLERK

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

DEPUTY CLERK

TO TO THE COURT

TO THE CO > Case#2:20-MJ-016250P > "20-8364-DLP > "2:20-CR-00213-HJM Chaloner-Saintillus, orn Shalam-Ali-EL-Bey

* Motion to Dismiss Criminal Scaled Complaint *

I the Defendent in this case, State the following is true to the best of my knowledge and belief. On or about the dates of August, 2019 through October, 2020 in the country of Sacramento in the Eastern Vistrict of California. A Scaled Criminal Complaint was filed on me. The damages effect of the sealed criminal complaint filed and Submitted against me has not afforded me the legal right of due Process of law.

The Sealed Criminal Complaint does not allow or afford me the legal right/apportunity to defend myself of any allegations brought against me I do not know what I am accused of violating as such Criminal violation pertains to a violation of a Federal law. I have a U.S. Constitutional right to confront my accuser and the Sealed Criminal Complaint brought against me does not offord me the Constitutional 1944 to know the accusation against me so that I can Confront My Accuser as Such. This is one of the main reasons I respectfully ask and request that this Honorable us. Magistrate Court do dismiss this, sealed criminal complaint that has been Swomitted

and brought against me. Admandment (Constitutional Right). Being denied my liberty and right to a sixtedy trial. I been in Custody now maximm amount of time, sixty days lus. I'm being denied One process, I have not been charge by (lawful) indictment so I have not waired Any right to a speady to lat. See

United States V. Coiscon 1793 F. Supp. 2d 680,684 (S.D. NY, 2011)
Someone Gase 8:20-cr-00213-KIM. Document 8 Filed 02/01/21 Page 2 01,4
Someone Being denled liberty on a preliminary hearing take place A Magistrate Judge has the (Authority) to dismiss a Complaint for lac of Probable cause "Out or After an intial apparance" I See little difference between dismissing a Complaint for lack of Probable Cause at a Preliminary hearing. There is Thus no reason why I may not "revisit my earlier probable cause Determination" now that I had the benefit of Agrument by Parties. NO Evidence was found at initial Arrest. There has been 'No Grand Jury in Office Since the boginning of the Pandemic (April 2020) No Confingers has been Submitted. The Assitant Public Defender Peter Birch has offered 1. He to no help help at all. Also at Identity/Removal hearing "Judge Mr. 1. He to no help help at all. Also at Identity/Removal hearing "Judge Mr. William Matthewman" State on Record Saying "I think that you "I am being My liberty on this is indirect violation of the process Law.

Conclusion of this matter, for All the Reason's I've Just Stated I Respectfully ash that this Court (Eastern District of California) Dismiss this Complaint that was filed against me. "Violating my

Constitutional Right!

Sincerty and Humble, . Stralam-Ali-EL-Bey. : Chaloner-Saintillus!

PS., Compasionate Release or home Confiment*
Prefferbly also, ID like to get my or Representative
Address and Information thank you. * Also A copy of my Discovery/PSI

:Chaloner-Saintillus: 14772-509 :Shalam-Ali-EL-Bey, F.D.C FO. Box,019120 Miami, FC,33101

MIAMI FL 330

1 FEB 2021 PM 6 L



Judge, Kimberly Mueller 5011 Street Suite 10-100 Sacramento, California, 95814

95814-730625

արդիայի անդանի իրանականի անդանական

And the state of t